arXiv:1206.2063v2 [math.AG] 20 Jun 2012

HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS OF JACOBIAN TYPE

MINGMIN SHEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define the notion of a hyperkähler manifold (potentially) of Jacobian type. If we view hyperkähler manifolds as "abelian varieties", then those of Jacobian type should be viewed as "Jacobian varieties". Under a minor assumption on the polarization, we show that a very general polarized hyperkähler fourfold F of $K3^{[2]}$-type is not of Jacobian type. Our proof involves an explicit calculation of the Hodge classes in degree 4. As a byproduct, we prove the Hodge conjecture for such F. As a potential application, we conjecture that if a cubic fourfold is rational then its variety of lines is of Jacobian type. Under some technical assumption, it is proved that the variety of lines on a rational cubic fourfold is potentially of Jacobian type. We also prove the integral Hodge conjecture in degree 4 for the variety of lines on a very general cubic fourfold.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of weight one Hodge structures leads to the theory of abelian varieties. Among all principally polarized abelian varieties, there is a special class called Jacobian varieties which corresponds to Hodge structures of curves. A corresponding theory for higher weight Hodge structures is still missing. In this paper, we propose a possible direction on the theory of "Jacobians" for weight two Hodge structures.

We do not know yet what the "principally polarized abelian varieties" for weight two Hodge structures should be. The most typical weight two Hodge structure is $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ where S is a smooth projective surface, which is equipped with the intersection form. A "p.p.a.v." in weight two, say X, should be a variety whose cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ carries a natural nondegenerate bilinear form that is compatible with the Hodge structure. We know one class of such varieties, namely the hyperkähler manifolds F whose cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ carries the canonical Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form, see [2], [8].

To motivate the definition of "Jacobians" in weight two, we recall a characterization of Jacobian of curves. Let (A,Θ) be a principally polarized abelian variety of dimension g. Then a result of Matsusaka [15] says that A is a Jacobian if and only if there exists a curve $f: C\to A$ such that $f_*[C]=\frac{[\Theta]^{g-1}}{(g-1)!}$ is the 1-dimensional minimal cohomology class. Or in other words, A is a Jacobian if and only if the 1-dimensional minimal cohomology class $\frac{[\Theta]^{g-1}}{(g-1)!}$ is effective. We define the "Jacobian" in weight two as follows.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C30, 14E08, 14F25.

Key words and phrases. hyperkähler manifolds, Hodge classes, cubic fourfolds, rationality.

The author is supported by Simons Foundation as a Simons Postdoctoral Fellow.

Definition 1.1. Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of dimension $2n$. Let $b(-,-)$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form on $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$. Let

$$\mathrm{Alg}^{2p}(F)=\mathrm{Im}(cl:\mathrm{CH}^p(F)\to\mathrm{H}^{2p}(F,\mathbb{Z})),\quad \mathrm{Hdg}^{2p}(F)=\mathrm{H}^{2p}(F,\mathbb{Z})\cap\mathrm{H}^{p,p}(F)$$

be the the subgroups of algebraic and Hodge classes. We define the *transcendental lattice* of F to be

$$\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}=\mathrm{Alg}^2(F)^{\perp}$$

with the restriction of the bilinear form b. A *minimal class* is an element $\theta\in\mathrm{H}^{4n-4}(F,\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$(\theta\cdot\alpha_1\cdot\alpha_2)_F=b(\alpha_1,\alpha_2),\quad\forall\alpha_1,\alpha_2\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$$

A *minimal Hodge class* is a minimal class that is also a Hodge class. A projective hyperkähler manifold F is of *Jacobian type* if there exist a surface $f:S\to F$ such that $f_*[S]$ is a minimal class.

By definition, a necessary condition for F to be of Jacobian type is that it admits a minimal Hodge class. It is easy to see that if $F=S^{[n]}$ for some $K3$-surface S, then F is of Jacobian type. In fact, we can fix $n-1$ general points on S and let the n^{th} point vary and get a surface $\tilde{S}\subset F$. We can take θ to be the cycle class of $\tilde{S}$ and verify that F is of Jacobian type. From now on, we will restrict ourselves to the case where F is of $K3^{[2]}$-type. The following definitions will be useful throughout this article.

Definition 1.2. Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. Let $b(-,-)$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form on $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$. An element $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is *primitive* if it is not divisible (in $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$) by any integer greater than 1. A primitive element $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is *even* if $b(\alpha,\alpha')\in 2\mathbb{Z}$, for all $\alpha'\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$; otherwise, α is called *odd*. An element $\delta\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is *exceptional* if (i) $b(\delta,\delta)=-2$ and (ii) δ is even. Let $\Omega(F)\subset\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of all exceptional elements. We use $\Omega_0(F)$ to denote $\Omega(F)/\{\pm1\}$. A *polarization* $\lambda_0\in\mathrm{Pic}(F)$ of F is the class of an ample divisor. We say that (F,λ_0) is *primitively polarized* if furthermore λ_0 is primitive.

Remark 1.3. An exceptional class δ is always primitive and satisfies $b(\delta,\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}))=2\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\delta^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement (with respect to $b(-,-)$) of δ. Then $(\delta^{\perp},b)$ is isomorphic to the $K3$-lattice and

$$\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}\delta\oplus\delta^{\perp}.$$

We will show that if α is even, then $\alpha^2-\delta^2$ is divisible by 8 in $\mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$, for all $\delta\in\Omega(F)$.

For a primitively polarized hyperkähler manifold, (F,λ_0), of $K3^{[2]}$-type, we will refer to the following technical assumption frequently.

Assumption 1.4. Either the polarization λ_0 is odd or it is even and $\frac{10+b(\lambda_0,\lambda_0)}{8}$ is an even integer.

The first main result of this paper is that a generic deformation of $S^{[2]}$ is not of Jacobian type.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (F,λ_0) be a polarized hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type which satisfies Assumption 1.4. If F has a minimal Hodge class, then* $\mathrm{Pic}(F)$ *has rank at* *least 2. In particular, a very general such* (F, λ_0) *has no minimal Hodge class and hence is not of Jacobian type.*

Our motivation for this study is the rationality problem of cubic fourfolds. Works concerning this problem include [7], [11] and [10]. In their famous paper [4], Clemens and Griffiths proved that a smooth cubic threefold is not rational by showing that its intermediate Jacobian is not a Jacobian. The key point here is that if a 3 dimensional algebraic variety is rational, then its intermediate Jacobian is the Jacobian of a curve. As an analogue, we make the following

Conjecture 1.6. *Let* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5_{\mathbb{C}}$ *be a smooth cubic fourfold and* $F(X)$ *its variety of lines. If* X *is rational, then* $F(X)$ *is of Jacobian type.*

We remark that for many known cases of rational cubic fourfolds, one can verify the conjecture easily from their geometry. In [7], B. Hassett defines a cubic fourfold to be special if it contains a surface which is not homologous to a complete intersection. Concerning the above conjecture, we will show the following

Proposition 1.7. *If* X *is a very general cubic fourfold, then* $F(X)$ *is not of Jacobian type. The above conjecture implies that a rational cubic fourfold is special.*

To give further evidence of the above conjecture, we need the following definition.

Definition 1.8. Let F be a hyperkähler manifold. We say that F is *potentially of Jacobian type* if there exists a smooth surface S (not necessarily irreducible) and a correspondence $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}_2(S \times F)$, such that

$$([\Gamma]^*\alpha \cdot [\Gamma]^*\beta)_S = b(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

Theorem 1.9. *Let* X *be a smooth cubic fourfold and* F *its variety of lines. If there is a birational map* $f : \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow X$ *whose indeterminacy can be resolved by a simple successive blow-up, then* F *is potentially of Jacobian type.*

For the definition of simple successive blow-up, see Definition 7.4.

The plan of this paper is as follows. For a hyperkähler manifold F of $K3^{[2]}$-type, our investigation relies on an explicit basis for $\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ obtained in Theorem 2.14 and Corollary 2.15. The following canonical torsion group

$$\mathcal{T}^4(F) = \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda), \quad \Lambda = \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

comes into the picture in a subtle way. We give a description of this group in Theorem 3.5. After all these, we are able to give an explicit description of the integral Hodge classes on a very general F. In particular, we see that there is no integral Hodge class θ satisfying the definition of Jacobian type, see Theorem 4.3. As a byproduct of proof, we establish the Hodge conjecture for very general F and give information on the size of the possible failure of the integral Hodge conjecture. Thanks to the explicit geometry of cubic fourfolds, we are able to prove the integral Hodge conjecture for $F(X)$ when X is a very general cubic fourfold, see Theorem 5.1. In section 6, we show that a minimal Hodge class always lies in the space generated by divisors and the “bilinear form”, from which we conclude that being of Jacobian type forces the Picard rank to jump. We also show that having a minimal Hodge class is a birational invariant. Section 7 gives a proof of Theorem 1.9. This section is somehow independent of the previous ones.

Before ending this introduction, we would like to make a few remarks on some open questions. We see that $S^{[n]}$ is of Jacobian type, but we do not know whether the converse holds true or not. Namely, if we know that a hyperkähler manifold F (deformation equivalent to $S^{[n]}$) is of Jacobian type, can we conclude that F is birational to $S^{[n]}$, for some $K3$ surface S? If $F = F(X)$ is the variety of lines on a cubic fourfold, then there is always the surface S_l of lines meeting a given general line l, and the inclusion

$$f : S_l \to F$$

such that

$$f^*\alpha \cdot f^*\beta = 2b(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

In analogy with the theory of abelian varieties, this is saying that $F(X)$ is a "Prym variety". In fact we do have a Prym construction as follows. There is an involution σ on S_l and the image of the restriction $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S_l, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ is the part on which $\sigma = -1$, see [9]. If we replace l by a rational curve of higher degree, then we get a Prym-Tjurin construction, see [18]. We would like to ask the following questions. For a hyperkähler manifold F, what is the smallest integer $e > 0$ such that there is a surface $f : S \to F$ stisfying

$$f^*\alpha \cdot f^*\beta = eb(\alpha, \beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}?$$

If S is a surface satisfying the above condition, is there a Prym-Tjurin construction on S to give the restriction of $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$?

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Claire Voisin for many helpful email correspondences. He also thanks D. Huybrechts, B. Totaro and E. Markman for their comments on an earlier version of this paper and C. Vial for many helpful discussions.

2. The degree 4 integral cohomology group

In this section, we fix F to be a hyperkähler manifold which is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of length 2 subschemes of a $K3$ surface. Such F will be called a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. We will give an explicit description of $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$. More general results about the integral cohomology ring were obtained in [16], [12] and [13].

Let (Λ, b) be the lattice $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the Beauville-Bogomolov quadratic form. We define the second symmetric power of Λ to be

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda) = \Lambda \otimes \Lambda / \langle a \otimes b - b \otimes a \rangle.$$

We will simply use $\alpha\beta \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda)$ to denote the image of $\alpha \otimes \beta \in \Lambda \otimes \Lambda$. The cup product

$$\cup : \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$$

naturally factors through $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda)$ and induces a homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \alpha\beta \mapsto \alpha \cup \beta.$$

Lemma 2.1. *(i)* $\rho \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Q})) \to \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Q})$ *is an isomorphism.*
(ii) ρ *is injective and the image has finite index in* $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$*.*
(iii) The intersection form on $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$ *restricted to* $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \Lambda$ *is given by*

$$\rho(\alpha_1\alpha_2) \cdot \rho(\alpha_3\alpha_4) = b(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)b(\alpha_3, \alpha_4) + b(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)b(\alpha_2, \alpha_4) + b(\alpha_1, \alpha_4)b(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)$$

for all $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4 \in \Lambda$*.*

Definition 2.2. We define $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ to be the quotient of $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\rho(\mathrm{Sym}^2 \Lambda)$.

Remark 2.3. This group is always a torsion group of finite order.

To better understand this group, we carry out some explicit computation in the situation $F = S^{[2]}$ for some $K3$ surface S. Let $\tau : Z \to S \times S$ be the blow up of $S \times S$ along the diagonal $\Delta_S : S \to S \times S$. Let $j : \tilde{\Delta} \hookrightarrow Z$ be the exceptional divisor of the blow-up. There is a natural morphism $\eta : \tilde{\Delta} \to S$ that realizes $\tilde{\Delta}$ as a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over S. Actually, $\tilde{\Delta} = \mathbb{P}(T_S)$ is the projectivization of the tangent bundle of S. There is a natural degree 2 finite morphism $\pi : Z \to S^{[2]}$ that ramifies along the divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$. Let $G = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ act on $S \times S$ by switching the two factors. Then this action lifts to an action of G on Z and $S^{[2]}$ is the associated quotient. Let $\Delta = \pi(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset S^{[2]}$. By construction, $\pi|_{\tilde{\Delta}} : \tilde{\Delta} \to \Delta$ is an isomorphism. We use j' to denote the inclusion of Δ into $S^{[2]}$. In summary, we have the following picture,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S & \tilde{\Delta} \arrow[l, "\eta"'] \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\cong"'] & Z \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & S \times S \\
 & \Delta \arrow[r, "j'"] & S^{[2]} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The blow up τ gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(S \times S, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\tau^*} \mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cdot(-f)} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

The element $f \in \mathrm{H}^6(Z, \mathbb{Z})$ is the class of a fiber of $\eta : \tilde{\Delta} \to S$. This sequence naturally splits since we have a splitting homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z})$, $1 \mapsto [\tilde{\Delta}]$. Hence we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S \times S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Delta}].$$

This is compatible with the G-action (G acts trivially on $[\tilde{\Delta}]$). Note that by Künneth formula we have

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S \times S, \mathbb{Z}) = p_1^*\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus p_2^*\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[G].$$

From now on, we will fix a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis

$$\{\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{22}\}$$

for $\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. The following lemma follows easily from the above computations

Lemma 2.4. *(i)* $\mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z})^G = \oplus_{i=1}^{22} \mathbb{Z}\, \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{\Delta}]$.
(ii) For all odd i, we have $\mathrm{H}^i(G, \mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z})) = 0$.
(iii) For all even positive i, we have $\mathrm{H}^i(G, \mathrm{H}^2(Z, \mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We have similar descriptions of $\mathrm{H}^4(Z, \mathbb{Z})$. First we have the following exact sequence (derived from the Leray spectral sequence associated to $\tau : Z \to S \times S$),

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^4(S \times S, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^4(Z, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{H}^2(S, R^2\eta_*\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

Let $\xi = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(1)) \in \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{\Delta}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(1)$ is the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle of $\tilde{\Delta} = \mathbb{P}(T_S) \to S$. Then ξ defines an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \cong R^2\eta_*\mathbb{Z}$. This induces

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S, R^2\eta_*\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let G act trivially on $\mathrm{H}^2(S, R^2\eta_*\mathbb{Z})$, then the sequence (1) respects the G-actions.

Lemma 2.5. *(i) The homomorphism* $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathfrak{a}_i\mapsto -j_*\eta^*\mathfrak{a}_i$, *splits the sequence* (1) *canonically.*
(ii) As G-modules, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{H}^4(S\times S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$$

where G acts trivially on the factor $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. The homomorphism $\phi:\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^2(S,R^2\eta_*\mathbb{Z})$ factors as

$$\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})\xrightarrow{j^*}\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{\Delta},\mathbb{Z})\xrightarrow{\eta_*}\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\ .$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_*j^*(-j_*\eta^*\mathfrak{a})&=-\eta_*(j^*[\tilde{\Delta}]\cdot\eta^*\mathfrak{a})\\&=-\eta_*(-\xi\cdot\eta^*\mathfrak{a})\\&=\eta_*(\xi\cdot\eta^*\mathfrak{a})\\&=\mathfrak{a},\qquad\forall\mathfrak{a}\in\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\end{aligned}$$

Where $\xi\in\mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{\Delta},\mathbb{Z})$ is the first Chern class of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle. This proves (i). The statement (ii) follows directly from (i). ☐

Lemma 2.6. *(i) The group* $\mathrm{H}^0(G,\mathrm{H}^4(S\times S,\mathbb{Z}))$ *is freely generated by* $p_1^*[pt]+p_2^*[pt]$, $\{p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{i=1}^{22}$ *and* $\{p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j+p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{1\leq i<j\leq 22}$.
(ii) For all odd i, we have $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{H}^4(S\times S,\mathbb{Z}))=0$*; for all even $i>0$, we have* $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{H}^4(S\times S,\mathbb{Z}))\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{22}$.
(iii) The group $\mathrm{H}^0(G,\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z}))$ *is freely generated by* $e_0=\tau^*(p_1^*[pt]+p_2^*[pt])$, $\{e_i=\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\}_{i=1}^{22}$, $\{e_{ij}=\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j+p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j\otimes p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\}_{1\leq i<j\leq 22}$ *and* $\{u_i'=j_*\eta^*\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{1\leq i\leq 22}$.
(iv) For all odd i, we have $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z}))=0$*; for all even $i>0$, we have* $\mathrm{H}^i(G,\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z}))\cong(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{44}$.

Proof. Statement (i) follows from the Künneth formula

$$\mathrm{H}^4(S\times S,\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{H}^4(S,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathrm{H}^4(S,\mathbb{Z})$$

Then (iii) follows easily from (i) and Lemma 2.5. By direct calculation of the group cohomology groups, we get (ii) and (iv). ☐

We will use the following spectral sequences frequently.

Proposition 2.7 (Grothendieck, chapter V of [6])**.** *Let X be a topological space with an action by a finite group G. Let $Y=X/G$ be the quotient and $\pi:X\to Y$ the natural map. Let $\Gamma^G(-)$ be the covariant functor from the category of G-sheaves on X to the category of abelian groups which sends a G-sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ to the G-invariant sections $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{F})^G$. The right derived functor of Γ^G is denoted $\mathrm{H}^i(G;X,\mathbb{Z})$. Then there are two spectral sequences associated to the situation,*

$${}_IE_2^{p,q}=\mathrm{H}^p(Y,R^q(\pi_*^G)\mathscr{F})\Longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^{p+q}(G;X,\mathscr{F})$$

and

$${}_{II}E_2^{p,q}=\mathrm{H}^p(G,\mathrm{H}^q(X,\mathscr{F}))\Longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^{p+q}(G;X,\mathscr{F})$$

where $\pi_*^G(\mathscr{F})=(\pi_*\mathscr{F})^G$ for all G-sheaves $\mathscr{F}$.

Now we apply the second spectral sequence to the special case $\pi : Z \to F = Z/G$ and $\mathscr{F} = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathrm{H}^i(Z,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for odd i. This together with Lemma 2.6 force the spectral sequence to degenerate at the ${}_{II}E_2$ page. Thus we easily get the following.

Lemma 2.8. *(i) We have the following short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

(ii) We have the following short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & T_1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where T_1 is a torsion group of order 4.

Consider the first spectral sequence ${}_IE$. Direct calculation of the stalks gives

$$R^i\pi_*^G\mathbb{Z} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & i = 0; \\ 0, & i \text{ odd}; \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_\Delta, & i > 0 \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

This creates enough zeros in the ${}_IE_2$ page and forces the spectral sequence to degenerate at this page. Hence we easily get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *(i) There is a short exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\Delta,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

(ii) We also have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & T_2 \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where T_2 is torsion group that fits into the following exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^2(\Delta,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & T_2 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(\Delta,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, T_2 is of order 2^{24}.

Corollary 2.10 (Beauville, [2])**.** *(i) The homomorphism $\pi^* : \mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G$ is an isomorphism and hence we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta \tag{2}$$

where δ satisfies $2\delta = [\Delta]$.
(ii) There is a short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] & T_3 \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $T_3 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{22}$.

Proof. To prove (i), we first note that the composition

$$\mathrm{H}^2(G,\mathrm{H}^0(Z,\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{H}^2(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Delta,R^2\pi_*^G\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

is isomorphism. By easy diagram chasing, this forces the composition

$$\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G$$

to be an isomorphism. Hence there is an element $\delta \in \mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\pi^*\delta = [\tilde{\Delta}]$. Since $\pi^*[\Delta] = 2[\tilde{\Delta}]$, we get $2\delta = [\Delta]$. To prove (ii), we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 & 0 & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, equal] & \mathrm{H}^4(G;Z,\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
 & & T_1 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[u] & T_1 \arrow[u] & \\
 & & 0 \arrow[u] & 0 \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that T_3 is of order 2^{22}. For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G$, we have $2\alpha = \pi^*(\pi_*\alpha)$. This implies that T_3 is of 2-torsion. Hence we have $T_3 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{22}$. □

Remark 2.11. For any $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, its image in $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ will be denoted $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$. Hence $\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$ has a basis

$$\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_2, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}, \delta\}$$

The element $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i$ can be described as the unique element satisfying

$$\pi^*\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i = \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i).$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & T_3 \arrow[r, equal] & T_3 & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\rho}"] & \mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & T_4 \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\pi^*"'] & \mathcal{T}^4(S^{[2]}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all the 3-term columns are all short exact. Use the canonical isomorphism in (i) of Lemma 2.4, we know that the image of $\tilde{\rho}$ is freely generated by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) \cup \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) &= \tau^*(p_1^*(\mathfrak{a}_i \cup \mathfrak{a}_i) + p_2^*(\mathfrak{a}_i \cup \mathfrak{a}_i) + 2p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i \cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\\
&= (\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_i)e_0 + 2e_i, \quad 1 \le i \le 22;\\
\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) \cup \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j) &= \tau^*(p_1^*(\mathfrak{a}_i \cup \mathfrak{a}_j) + p_2^*(\mathfrak{a}_i \cup \mathfrak{a}_j) +\\
&\qquad p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i \cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j + p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j \cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\\
&= (\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j)e_0 + e_{ij}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le 22;\\
\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) \cup [\tilde{\Delta}] &= j_*(j^*\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i))\\
&= j_*(2\eta^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\\
&= 2u_i'
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$[\tilde{\Delta}]^2 = j_*j^*[\tilde{\Delta}] = -j_*\xi \tag{3}$$

where ξ is the first Chern class of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$ bundle of $\tilde{\Delta} = \mathbb{P}(T_S) \to S$. The next Lemma gives us

$$j_*\xi = j_*(c_1(\mathcal{E})) = j_*(c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cap \eta^*[S]) = \tau^*[\Delta_S] \tag{4}$$

where $\Delta_S \subset S \times S$ is the diagonal and $\mathcal{E}$ fits into the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}}(-1) \longrightarrow \eta^*T_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 2.12 ([5],Proposition 6.7)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $Y \subset X$ a smooth subvariety of codimension d. Let $\tau : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blow up of X along Y and $\tilde{Y} = \mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}_{Y/X}) \subset \tilde{X}$ the exceptional divisor. Let $j : \tilde{Y} \to \tilde{X}$ be the inclusion, $\eta : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ the natural projection and $i : Y \to X$ the inclusion. On $\tilde{Y}$, we have the following short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}}(-1) \longrightarrow \eta^*\mathscr{N}_{Y/X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have

$$\tau^*i_*(x) = j_*(c_{d-1}(\mathcal{E}) \cap \eta^*x)$$

for all x in the cohomology or Chow groups of Y.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{22\times 22}$, with $a_{ij} = \mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the intersection matrix of $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Since the intersection form is unimodular, we know that $B = A^{-1}$ is integral. Actually, let $\{\mathfrak{a}_1^\vee, \mathfrak{a}_2^\vee, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_{22}^\vee\}$ be the dual basis of $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^\vee$. The intersection form gives a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})^\vee \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, under which we have

$$\mathfrak{a}_i^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^{22} b_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_j.$$

As a correspondence, Δ_S acts trivially on cohomology of S, it follows that

$$[\Delta_S] = \sum_{i=1}^{22} \mathfrak{a}_i \otimes \mathfrak{a}_i^\vee + [pt] \otimes [S] + [S] \otimes [pt]$$

This implies

$$\tau^*[\Delta_S] = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 22} b_{ij}e_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{22} b_{ii}e_i + e_0.$$

This combined with (3) and (4) implies that

$$[\tilde{\Delta}]^2 = -\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 22} b_{ij}e_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{22} b_{ii}e_i - e_0$$

With the chosen basis, the matrix representation for $\tilde{\rho}$ is

$$M_{\tilde{\rho}} = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & & & & & & \vdots & & & \\
 & \ddots & & & & & a_{ii} & & 0 & \\
 & & 2 & & & & \vdots & & & \\
 & & & 1 & & & \vdots & & & \\
 & & & & \ddots & & a_{ij} & & 0 & \\
 & & & & & 1 & \vdots & & & \\
\cdots & -b_{ii} & \cdots & \cdots & -b_{ij} & \cdots & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & 0 & 2 & & \\
 & 0 & & & 0 & & 0 & & \ddots & \\
 & & & & & & 0 & & & 2
\end{pmatrix}$$

One checks that $\det(M_{\tilde{\rho}}) = 5\cdot 2^{45}$. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.13. *(i) The group* T_4 *is of order* $5\cdot 2^{45}$.
(ii) The group $\mathcal{T}^4(S^{[2]})$ *is of order* $5\cdot 2^{23}$.

The following theorem will be extremely useful in explicit calculations.

Theorem 2.14. *Let* $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}$ *be an integral basis of* $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ *and* A *the intersection matrix. Let* $B = A^{-1}$. *There is a basis*

$$\{v_0,\ v_i|_{1\leq i\leq 22},\ v_{ij}|_{1\leq i<j\leq 22},\ u_i|_{1\leq i\leq 22}\}$$

of $\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$, *such that*

$$\pi^*v_0 = e_0,\quad \pi^*v_i = e_i - u_i',\quad \pi^*v_{ij} = e_{ij},\quad \pi^*u_i = 2u_i'.$$

The cup product $\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})\times\mathrm{H}^2(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$ *can be described explicitly as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\cdot\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j = v_{ij} + a_{ij}v_0,\quad 1\leq i<j\leq 22;\\
&\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\cdot\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i = 2v_i + u_i + a_{ii}v_0,\quad 1\leq i\leq 22;\\
&\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\cdot\delta = u_i,\quad 1\leq i\leq 22;\\
&\delta\cdot\delta = -\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 22} b_{ij}v_{ij} - \sum_{1\leq i\leq 22} b_{ii}v_i - \sum_{1\leq i\leq 22}\frac{b_{ii}}{2}u_i - v_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We take $u_i = j'_*\eta^*\mathfrak{a}_i = \pi_*u_i'$, $v_0 = \pi_*(\tau^*p_1^*[pt])$ and $v_{ij} = \pi_*\tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j)$. Using the notation in section 4 of [16], we set $v_i = L^{1,1}(\mathfrak{a}_i)\in\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$. When $\mathfrak{a}$ is the class of a curve $C\subset S$, then $L^{1,1}(\mathfrak{a})$ is represented by the closure of $\{\pi(x,y): x,y\in C, x\neq y\}$. We also have the following relation

$$e_i = \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i\cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) = \pi^*L^{1,1}(\mathfrak{a}_i) + u_i',\quad 1\leq i\leq 22.$$

Let $N\subset\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]},\mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup generated by v_0, $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{22}$, $\{v_{ij}\}_{1\leq i<j\leq 22}$, $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{22}$. By writing down explicitly the matrix of $\pi^*: N\to\mathrm{H}^4(Z,\mathbb{Z})^G$, we see that

the cokernel of the above map has size 2^{22}. By (ii) of Lemma 2.10, this implies that $N = \mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$. To check the formula of cup products, we only need to check the identities after pulling back via π^*. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^*(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j) &= \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i) \cdot \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j + p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j)\\ &= \tau^*((\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j)p_1^*[pt] + (\mathfrak{a}_j \cdot \mathfrak{a}_i)p_2^*[pt]) + \tau^*(p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_i \cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_j + p_1^*\mathfrak{a}_j \cup p_2^*\mathfrak{a}_i)\\ &= \pi^*(a_{ij}v_0 + v_{ij}), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 22.\end{aligned}$$

The other equalities are checked similarly. □

Corollary 2.15. *The cohomology group* $\mathrm{H}^4(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ *admits another integral basis*

$$\{v_0, \quad \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j|_{1\leq i\leq j\leq 22}, \quad \frac{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \cdot (\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i - \delta)}{2}|_{1\leq i\leq 22}, \quad \delta \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i|_{1\leq i\leq 22}\}.$$

Remark 2.16. For any $\delta \in \Omega(F)$ and basis $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}\}$ of $\delta^\perp$, the basis obtained in the above corollary are still valid (with the correct definition of v_0 as is given in Definition 3.3). This can be seen either by a direct computation or by the fact that δ essentially arises from some $S^{[2]}$ in the deformation equivalent family, see Lemma 3.4 of [14]. From now on, we will automatically view an element $\delta \in \Omega(F)$ as coming from an isomorphism $F \cong S^{[2]}$.

3. A DESCRIPTION OF $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$

Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. The following proposition can be deduced easily from the computation of the previous section.

Proposition 3.1. *The group* $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ *is of order* $5 \cdot 2^{23}$.

This section is devoted to a canonical description of $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$. It turns out that there is a canonical homomorphism

$$\bar{v} : \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{T}^4(F),$$

whose definition involves an exceptional class but is independent of the choice of such an exceptional class.

We first prove the following

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\delta, \delta' \in \Omega(F)$*, then the following are true.*
(i) The class $\delta - \delta'$ *is divisible by* 2 *in* $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$*.*
(ii) The class $\delta^2 - \delta'^2$ *is divisible by* 8 *in* $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$*.*
(iii) For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$*, the class* $\alpha \cdot (\alpha - \delta) \in \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$ *is divisible by* 2*.*

Proof. We assume that $F = S^{[2]}$ for some $K3$-surface S and δ being the half of the boundary divisor and use the notations in Theorem 2.14. Then we can write $\delta' = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' - c\delta$, where $b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}', \delta) = 0$. Since δ' is exceptional, we have $b(\delta', \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$. This forces $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$, for some $\mathfrak{a} = \sum a_i \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \in \delta^\perp$. Since δ' is primitive, we know that $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is odd. This proves (i). For (ii), we write explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}\delta'^2 - \delta^2 &= 4\hat{\mathfrak{a}}^2 - 4c\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + c^2\delta^2\\ &= 4\sum_{i=1}^{22}(a_i^2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i^2 - a_i\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i) + 8\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq 22} a_i a_j \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j + (c^2 - 1)\delta^2\end{aligned}$$

Since c^2-1 is divisible by 8, we only need to show that the first sum is divisible by 8. This can be seen from

$$4\sum_{i=1}^{22}(a_i^2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i^2 - a_i\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i) = 4\sum a_i(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i^2-\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i) + 8\sum\binom{a_i}{2}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i^2$$

and the fact that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i^2-\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i$ is divisible by 2, see Theorem 2.14. Given (i), we only need to prove (iii) for the exceptional element $\delta\in\Omega$ coming from an isomorphism $F\cong S^{[2]}$. This case follows from Theorem 2.14. $\square$

Definition 3.3. Let $\delta\in\Omega(F)$. We define a quadratic map $v_\delta : \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})\to \mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$ by putting

$$v_\delta(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\cdot(\alpha-\delta).$$

It is known that $\delta^\perp$ is the lattice of a $K3$ surface. Let $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1,\dots,\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}\}$ be a basis for $\delta^\perp$ with $A=(b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i,\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j))_{22\times 22}$ be the intersection matrix. Set $B=A^{-1}$. We define

$$v_0(\delta) = \frac{1}{10}(\delta\cdot\delta + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{22} b_{ij}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\cdot\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j)$$

Remark 3.4. Let $F=S^{[2]}$ and notations be as in Theorem 2.14, then $v_0(\delta)$ is simply the class v_0.

Theorem 3.5. *Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type and $\delta\in\Omega(F)$ an exceptional class. Then*

(i) The composition $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})\xrightarrow{v_\delta}\mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ *induces a homomorphism*

$$\bar{v}_\delta : \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\to\mathcal{T}^4(F)$$

(ii) The homomorphism $\bar{v}_\delta$ is independent of the choice of δ.
(iii) The image $\bar{\delta}$ of δ in $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is independent of the choice of δ.
(iv) The kernel of $\bar{v}_\delta$ is generated by $\bar{\delta}$.
(v) $v_0(\delta)\in\mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is the unique element satisfying the following

$$(v_0(\delta)\cdot\alpha\cdot\beta)_F = b(\alpha,\beta)+\frac{1}{4}b(\delta,\alpha)b(\delta,\beta),\quad \forall\alpha,\beta\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}).$$

(vi) The image $\bar{v}_0(\delta)$ of $v_0(\delta)$ in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is an element of order 10. For a different choice $\delta'\in\Omega(F)$, the difference $\bar{v}_0(\delta)-\bar{v}_0(\delta')$ is an element in the image of $\bar{v}_\delta$. In particular, the element $\bar{w}_0=2\bar{v}_0(\delta)$ is independent of the choice of δ.
(vii) Let $\mathcal{K}^2(F)$ be the quotient of $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ by $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})\bar{\delta}$. Then there is a canonical short exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{K}^2(F) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}^4(F) \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}.$$

Each $\delta\in\Omega(F)$ determines a splitting of the above sequence by the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}\to\mathcal{T}^4(F)$, $1\mapsto\bar{v}_0(\delta)$.
(viii) There is a canonical exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & \mathcal{T}^4(F) \arrow[r, "\psi"] & \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})^\vee\otimes\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

where $\varphi(1)=\bar{w}_0$ and $\psi(\theta)=\{\alpha\mapsto(\alpha\cdot\tilde{\theta}\cdot\delta)_F \mod 2\}$, where $\tilde{\theta}\in\mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$ is a lifting of $\theta\in\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ and $\delta\in\Omega(F)$ is some exceptional class. The composition $\psi\circ\bar{v}_\delta$ is the homomorphism induced by the Beauville-Bogomolov pairing.

Remark 3.6. Since $\bar{v}_\delta$ is independent of the choice of δ, we will simply write

$$\bar{v} : \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{T}^4(F)$$

for the canonical homomorphism.

Proof. For (i), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}') &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}')(\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' - \delta) \\ &= v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}) + v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}') + \hat{\mathfrak{a}}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the map $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is a homomorphism. One easily checks that this homomorphism vanishes on $2\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence (i) followes. If we pick another exceptional class $\delta' \in \Omega(F)$. Then

$$v_{\delta'}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}) - v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta - \delta')\hat{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the element $\frac{\delta - \delta'}{2}$ is integral. Thus $v_{\delta'}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}})$ and $v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}})$ map to the same image in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$, which proves (ii). Statement (iii) follows from the fact that $\delta - \delta'$ is divisible by 2, see Lemma 3.2. By the definition of $\bar{v}_\delta$, we see that $\bar{\delta}$ is in the kernel. Let $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}\}$ be a basis of $\delta^\perp$. Then Theorem 2.14 implies that $\bar{v}_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i) \neq 0$ for all i. Since the basis is arbitrary, we get that $\bar{v}_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}) \neq 0$ for all primitive $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \in \delta^\perp$. This implies that the images $\bar{v}_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i)$ are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-linearly independent. This proves (iv). To prove (v), we consider the special case $F = S^{[2]}$. Then the v_0 is represented by a smooth surface $\tilde{S} \subset F$, where $\tilde{S}$ is the blow up of S at a general point. Then we have

$$\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i|_{\tilde{S}} = \sigma^*\mathfrak{a}_i, \qquad \delta|_{\tilde{S}} = E$$

where $\sigma : \tilde{S} \to S$ is the blow up with E being the exceptional curve. This implies

$$(v_0 \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \cdot \delta)_F = (\sigma^*\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot E)_{\tilde{S}} = 0, \qquad (v_0 \cdot \delta^2)_F = E^2 = -1,$$

and

$$(v_0 \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j)_F = (\sigma^*\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \sigma^*\mathfrak{a}_j)_{\tilde{S}} = (\mathfrak{a}_i \cdot \mathfrak{a}_j) = b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j).$$

One easily checks that the equality in (v) holds for α, β from the basis $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}, \delta\}$. By linearity, (v) holds for all α, β. For (vi), we only need to prove this in the case $F = S^{[2]}$. First we note that by the definition of $v_0(\delta)$, we know that its image in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is an element of order 10. In $\mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$, we have the element

$$q = \sum_{1 \le i,j \le 22} b_{ij}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta^2$$

where $B = (b_{ij})$ is the inverse of the intersection matrix of $\{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_{22}\}$. We know that q is independent of the choice of the exceptional class δ and the basis $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\}$ of $\delta^\perp$, see the discussion of next section. Then by definition and relations in Theorem 2.14, we get (see Lemma 4.2)

$$v_0(\delta) = \frac{1}{8}\delta^2 + \frac{1}{20}q.$$

This implies that

$$v_0(\delta') - v_0(\delta) = \frac{1}{8}(\delta'^2 - \delta^2)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we can write $\delta' = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + c\delta$ for some $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \in \delta^{\perp}$ and odd integer c. The by direct computation, we get

$$\bar{v}_0(\delta') - \bar{v}_0(\delta) = \bar{v}_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}).$$

To prove (vii), we note that $\mathcal{K}^2(F)$ is identified with the image of $\bar{v}$. Since $\mathcal{K}^2(F)$ is of order 2^{22} and $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is of order $5\cdot 2^{23}$, we know that the quotient group $\mathcal{T}^4(F)/\mathcal{K}^2(F)$ is of order 10 and thus isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$. This gives the short exact sequence. By the explicit basis obtained in Theorem 2.14, we know that the images of v_0 and $\{v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i)\}$ generate $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$. This implies that the element $\bar{v}_0$ gives a splitting of the above short exact sequence.

To prove (viii), we first check that the definition of ψ is independent of the choice of δ. The composition $\psi\circ\bar{v}_\delta$ is induced by

$$\alpha \mapsto \{\beta \mapsto (\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha-\delta)\cdot\beta\cdot\delta)_F \mod 2\}$$

Then we explicitly compute that

$$(\frac{1}{2}\alpha(\alpha-\delta)\cdot\beta\cdot\delta)_F \equiv b(\alpha,\beta) \mod 2.$$

This implies that $\psi\circ\bar{v}_\delta$ is the homomorphism induced by $b(-,-)$ whose image is

$$\{\ell \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) : \ell(\delta) = 0, \forall \delta \in \Omega(F)\}.$$

To show that ψ is surjective, we only need to show that there exists an element $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\theta\cdot\delta\cdot\delta \equiv 1 \mod 2$. But we can simply take $\theta = v_0(\delta)$ since $v_0(\delta)\cdot\delta^2 = -1$. The remaining part of (viii) follows from this. ☐

4. HODGE CLASSES IN DEGREE 4

Let (F,λ_0) be a primitively polarized hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. In this section, we study the group

$$\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F) = \mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathrm{H}^{2,2}(F)$$

of Hodge classes in degree 4. First we note that there are 2 canonical classes in $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$. The first one is $(\lambda_0)^2$ and the second one comes from the Beauville-Bogomolov form. To be more precise, let $b(-,-)$ be the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear form on $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$. We extend b linearly to $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{C})$. Take a basis

$$\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_2, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{23}\}$$

to be a basis of $\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{C})$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the matrix of b, i.e. $a_{ij} = b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j)$. Let $B = (b_{ij}) = A^{-1}$, which exists since b is non-degenerate. We define

$$q = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j \in \mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{C}).$$

It is a simple fact that q is independent of the choice of the basis. Recall that λ_0 is even if $b(\lambda_0,\alpha)$ is even, for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})$ be primitive. The following statement are equivalent.*
(i) The element λ_0 is even.
(ii) $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ is divisible by 2 for some $\delta \in \Omega(F)$.
(iii) $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ is divisible by 2 for all $\delta \in \Omega(F)$.
(iv) $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ is divisible by 8 for some $\delta \in \Omega(F)$.

(v) $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ *is divisible by 8 for all* $\delta \in \Omega(F)$.
(vi) $\bar{v}(\lambda_0) = 0$ *in* $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii). Let $\delta \in \Omega(F)$ be some exceptional class. Fix a basis $\{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{22}\}$ of $\delta^\perp$. Then we can write $\lambda_0 = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' + c'\delta$ with $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' \in \delta^\perp$ and $c' \in \mathbb{Z}$. The fact that $b(\lambda_0, \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in \delta^\perp$ and the fact that $b(-,-)$ is unimodular on $\delta^\perp$ implies that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \in \delta^\perp$. Since λ_0 is primitive, we know that c' must be odd and hence we can write $\lambda_0 = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + (2c+1)\delta$, for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is easy to see that $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ is divisible by 2.

(ii)⇒(i). We still write $\lambda_0 = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' + c'\delta$. Then we get

$$\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2 = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}'^2 + 2c'\hat{\mathfrak{a}}'\delta + (c'^2 - 1)\delta^2.$$

Using the explicit basis obtained in Theorem 2.14, we see that the above expression is divisible by 2 only if $2 \mid c'^2 - 1$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \in \delta^\perp$. Hence we get $\lambda_0 = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + (2c+1)\delta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that λ_0 is even.

(ii)⇒(iii) and (iv)⇒(v). This is due to the fact that $\delta^2 - \delta'^2$ is divisible by 8, for all $\delta, \delta' \in \Omega(F)$, see Lemma 3.2.

(iii)⇒(ii) and (v)⇒(iv) are automatic.

(ii)⇒(iv). As above, we can write $\lambda_0 = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + (2c+1)\delta$. Hence we get

$$\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2 = 8v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}) + 8(c+1)\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + 4c(c+1)\delta^2,$$

which is easily seen to be divisible by 8.

(iv)⇒(vi). Again, we can write $\lambda_0 = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}} + (2c+1)\delta$. Then we easily see that $\bar{v}(\lambda_0) = \bar{v}_\delta(\lambda_0) = 0$ in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$.

(vi)⇒(i). Pick some $\delta \in \Omega(F)$. If we write $\lambda_0 = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' + c'\delta$ for some $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' \in \delta^\perp$ and $c' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bar{v}(\lambda_0) = \bar{v}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}') = 0$ implies that $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}'$ is 0 in $\delta^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}' = 2\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \in \delta^\perp$. Since λ_0 is primitive, we get $c' = 2c+1$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows that λ_0 is even. ☐

Lemma 4.2. *Let* (F, λ_0) *be primitively polarized as above, then the following are true.*
(i) The two elements $(\lambda_0)^2$ *and* q *are linearly independent in* $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{C})$.
(ii) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$, *we have*

$$(q \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta)_F = 25b(\alpha, \beta).$$

(iii) The element $\frac{2}{5}q$ *is integral and primitive, namely* $\frac{2}{5}q \in \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$. *Furthermore,*

$$\frac{2}{5}q + \delta^2 = 8v_0(\delta), \quad \forall \delta \in \Omega(F).$$

(iv) If λ_0 *is odd, then*

$$(\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_0)^2 + \mathbb{Q}q) \cap \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(\lambda_0)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\frac{2}{5}q).$$

(v) If λ_0 *is even, then* $(\lambda_0)^2 + \frac{2}{5}q$ *is divisible by 8 and*

$$(\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_0)^2 + \mathbb{Q}q) \cap \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}(\lambda_0)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\frac{1}{8}(\lambda_0^2 + \frac{2}{5}q)$$

Proof. We prove (ii) first by direct computation as follows. Assume that

$$\alpha = \sum x_i \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i, \qquad \beta = \sum y_i \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(q\cdot\alpha\cdot\beta)_F &= \sum b_{ij}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\cdot\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j\cdot\alpha\cdot\beta)_F\\ &= \sum b_{ij}(b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i,\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j)b(\alpha,\beta)+b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i,\alpha)b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j,\beta)+b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i,\beta)b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j,\alpha))\\ &= (\sum b_{ij}a_{ij})b(\alpha,\beta)+2\sum x_k a_{ki}b_{ij}a_{jl}y_l\\ &= \mathrm{tr}(AB)b(\alpha,\beta)+2\sum x_k a_{kl}y_l\\ &= 23b(\alpha,\beta)+2b(\alpha,\beta)\\ &= 25b(\alpha,\beta)\end{aligned}$$

To prove (i) we first note that for all $\alpha,\beta\in(\lambda_0)^\perp$, we have

$$(\lambda_0^2\cdot\alpha\cdot\beta)_F = b(\lambda_0,\lambda_0)b(\alpha,\beta).$$

Hence if λ_0^2 and q are proportional, then $\lambda_0^2=\frac{b_0}{25}q$ where $b_0=b(\lambda_0,\lambda_0)$. This implies

$$3b_0^2=(\lambda_0^2\cdot\lambda_0^2)=\frac{b_0}{25}(q\cdot\lambda_0^2)=\frac{b_0}{25}\cdot 25b_0=b_0^2.$$

This forces $b_0=0$ which is impossible since λ_0 is an ample class.

To prove (iii), we write q explicitly using the basis obtained in Theorem 2.14.

$$\begin{aligned}q &= \sum_{i,j} b_{ij}\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j-\frac{1}{2}\delta^2\\ &= \sum_{i\neq j} b_{ij}(v_{ij}+a_{ij}v_0)+\sum_{i=1}^{22} b_{ii}(2v_i+u_i+a_{ii}v_0)\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i<j} b_{ij}v_{ij}+\sum_{i=1}^{22}(b_{ii}v_i+\frac{b_{ii}}{2}u_i)+v_0)\\ &= \frac{5}{2}(\sum_{i<j} b_{ij}v_{ij}+\sum b_{ii}v_i)+\frac{5}{2}\sum\frac{b_{ii}}{2}u_i+\frac{45}{2}v_0\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\frac{2}{5}q$ is integral and primitive. One also easily sees that $\frac{2}{5}q+\delta^2=8v_0$.

The intersection matrix of $\{\lambda_0^2,\frac{2}{5}q\}$ is given by

$$M=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_0^2\cdot\lambda_0^2 & \frac{2}{5}q\cdot\lambda_0^2\\ \frac{2}{5}q\cdot\lambda_0^2 & \frac{2}{5}q\cdot\frac{2}{5}q\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}3b_0^2 & 10b_0\\ 10b_0 & 92\end{pmatrix}\tag{5}$$

Hence $\det(M)=176b_0^2$. Now we assume that

$$\frac{1}{p}(a\lambda_0+b(\frac{2}{5}q))\in \mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$$

where p is a prime and $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(a,b)=1$. To do some explicit computations, we assume that $F=S^{[2]}$, and a basis $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}$ of $\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. By Corollary 2.15, we have an integral basis

$$\mathcal{B}=\{v_0(\delta),v_\delta(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i),\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j,\delta\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i\}$$

for $\mathrm{H}^4(F,\mathbb{Z})$. We assume that $\lambda_0=k\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_0-c\delta$, for some $k,c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and primitive $\mathfrak{a}_0\in\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. As before, we set $A=(a_{ij})$ with $a_{ij}=(\mathfrak{a}_i\cdot\mathfrak{a}_j)_S$ and $B=(b_{ij})=A^{-1}$. Let

$\mu = b(\lambda_0, \delta) = 2c$ and $\mu_i = b(\lambda_0, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i) = k\tilde{\mu}_i$, where $\tilde{\mu}_i = b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_0, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_i)$. The intersection of $\{\lambda_0^2, \frac{2}{5}q\}$ with the basis $\mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_0^2\\ \frac{2}{5}q\end{pmatrix}\cdot\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} k^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S - c^2, & \mu_i(\mu_i-\mu)+\frac{a_{ii}}{2}b_0, & 2\mu_i\mu_j+b_0a_{ij}, & \mu\mu_i \\ 9, & 5a_{ii}, & 10a_{ij}, & 0\end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

Claim: The fact that $p \mid a\lambda_0^2 + b(\frac{2}{5}q)$ implies that $p \mid 2kc$.

proof of claim. Since both λ_0^2 and $\frac{2}{5}q$ are primitive, we know that $p \nmid ab$. The last column of (6) implies that $p \mid 2kc\tilde{\mu}_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, 22$. This forces $p \mid 2kc$. □

case 1: $p \mid c$.

In this case we have

$$b_0 = b(\lambda_0, \lambda_0) = k^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S - 2c^2 \equiv k^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S, \quad \mathrm{mod}\ p$$

The first column of (6) implies

$$ak^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S + 9b \equiv 0 \mod p.$$

By looking at the second column of (6), we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv a\mu_i(\mu_i-\mu) + a\cdot\frac{a_{ii}}{2}b_0 + b\cdot 5a_{ii} \mod p \\ &\equiv ak^2\tilde{\mu}_i^2 + a\cdot\frac{a_{ii}}{2}k^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S + 5a_{ii}b \mod p \\ &= ak^2\tilde{\mu_i}^2 + \frac{a_{ii}}{2}(ak^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S + 9b) + \frac{a_{ii}}{2}b \\ &\equiv ak^2\tilde{\mu}_i^2 + \frac{a_{ii}}{2}b \mod p \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $a_{ii} = c_0\tilde{\mu}_i^2 \mod p$, where $c_0 = -\frac{2ak^2}{b}$. By looking at the third column of (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv a\cdot 2k^2\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{\mu}_j + a\cdot k^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S a_{ij} + 10ba_{ij} \mod p \\ &= 2ak^2\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{\mu}_j + a_{ij}(ak^2(\mathfrak{a}_0\cdot\mathfrak{a}_0)_S + 9b) + ba_{ij} \\ &\equiv 2ak^2 + ba_{ij} \mod p \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $a_{ij} = c_0\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{\mu}_j \mod p$ for all $i < j$. Combine the above expressions for a_{ij}, we have

$$\pm 1 = \det(A) \equiv (c_0)^2 2\det(\tilde{\mu}_i\tilde{\mu}_j) = 0 \mod p.$$

This is a contradiction and hence case 1 never happens.

Case 2: $p \mid k$.

In this case, we first have $b_0 \equiv -2c^2 \mod p$. The first column of (6) gives

$$-ac^2 + 9b \equiv 0 \mod p$$

Then the third column of (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv 2a\mu_i\mu_j + ab_0a_{ij} + 10ba_{ij} \mod p \\ &\equiv a(-2c^2)a_{ij} + 10ba_{ij} \mod p \\ &= 2a_{ij}(-ac^2 + 9b) - 8ba_{ij} \\ &\equiv -8ba_{ij} \mod p \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \nmid b$, we have $p \mid 8a_{ij}$ for all i, j. Since $\det(A) = \pm 1$, there exists (i, j) such that $p \nmid a_{ij}$. It follows that $p \mid 8$ and hence $p = 2$.

Case 3: $p = 2$.

In this case, we have $2 \mid \lambda_0^2 + \frac{2}{5}q$ and also $8 \mid \delta^2 + \frac{2}{5}q$. By taking the difference, we see that $\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2$ is divisible by 2. By Lemma 4.1, we know that λ_0 is even. This implies that

$$\lambda_0^2 + \frac{2}{5}q = (\delta^2 + \frac{2}{5}q) + (\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2)$$

is divisible by 8. When λ_0 is even, we easily see that $b_0/2 = b(\lambda_0, \lambda_0)/2$ is odd. Hence the power of the factor 2 in $\det(M) = 176b_0^2$ is 64. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{8}(\lambda_0^2 + \frac{2}{5}q)$$

is no longer divisible by 2 and hence is primitive. This proves (iv) and (v). $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let (F, λ_0) be a very general primitively polarized hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. Then the following are true.*
(a) The group of integral Hodge classes in degree 4 can be expressed as

$$\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\lambda_0^2, q\} \cap \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, if λ_0 is odd, then $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ is freely generated by λ_0^2 and $\frac{2}{5}q$; If λ_0 is even, then $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ is freely generated by λ_0^2 and $\frac{1}{8}(\lambda_0^2 + \frac{2}{5}q)$.
(b) If λ_0 satisfies the Assumption 1.4, then for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}' \in (\lambda_0)^\perp \subset \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathfrak{a}}')_F = e\, b(\hat{\mathfrak{a}}, \hat{\mathfrak{a}}'),$$

for some $e \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the period map

$$\mathcal{P}([F]) = \mathbb{C}\omega_F \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$$

where $\omega_F \in \mathrm{H}^0(F, \Omega_F^2)$ is a generator. By the local Torelli theorem, see [2], we know that the deformation of (F, λ_0) corresponds to the deformation of ω_F such that $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda^{1,1}$. Let

$$Q_{\lambda_0}^+ = \{\omega \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}) : b(\omega, \omega) = 0, b(\omega, \overline{\omega}) > 0, b(\lambda_0, \omega) = 0\}.$$

Assume that the period of (F, λ_0) is ω. Then we can easily compute

$$T_{[\omega], Q_{\lambda_0}^+} = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\omega, \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathbb{C}\omega) : b(\omega, \varphi(\omega)) = 0, b(\lambda_0, \varphi(\omega)) = 0\}.$$

By definition, $\varphi(\omega)$ is only a class in $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}/\mathbb{C}\omega$. Then we define $b(\omega, \varphi(\omega))$ to be $b(\omega, \omega')$ for any element $\omega' \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ representing the class $\varphi(\omega)$. Note that this is well defined since $b(\omega, \omega) = 0$. We define $b(\lambda_0, \varphi(\omega))$ in a similar way. We have the Hodge decomposition

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{1,1} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}.$$

Let $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{21}\}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\Lambda^{1,1}$. Then we can write

$$\varphi(\omega) = \sum \mu_i \alpha_i + \mu_0 \bar{\omega}, \quad \mu_i, \mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The condition $b(\omega, \varphi(\omega)) = 0$ implies that $\mu_0 = 0$. The condition $b(\lambda_0, \varphi(\omega)) = 0$, together with its equivalent form $b(\lambda_0, \overline{\varphi(\omega)}) = 0$, implies that $\varphi(\omega) \in \lambda_0^\perp \cap \Lambda^{1,1}$. Hence we get the following identification

$$T_{[\omega], Q_{\lambda_0}^+} = \lambda_0^\perp \cap \Lambda^{1,1}.$$

When $[\omega]$ moves in $Q^+_{\lambda_0}$ along the direction $\varphi \in T_{[\omega],Q^+_{\lambda_0}}$, the space $\Lambda^{1,1}$ moves in $G(21, \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$ along the direction ψ, where

$$\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda^{1,1}, \mathbb{C}\omega \oplus \mathbb{C}\bar{\omega})$$

is the unique element satisfying

$$b(\psi(\alpha_i), \omega) + b(\alpha_i, \varphi(\omega)) = 0$$
$$b(\psi(\alpha_i), \bar{\omega}) + b(\alpha_i, \overline{\varphi(\omega)}) = 0$$

If we write $\psi(\alpha_i) = a_i\omega + b_i\bar{\omega}$, then the above conditions imply

$$a_i = -b(\alpha_i, \overline{\varphi(\omega)}), \quad b_i = -b(\alpha_i, \varphi(\omega)).$$

When $[\omega]$ moves in $Q^+_{\lambda_0}$ along the direction φ, the space $\mathrm{H}^{2,2}(F)$ moves in the Grassmannian $G(232, \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}))$ along some direction $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2}, \mathrm{Sym}^2(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})/\mathrm{H}^{2,2})$. Under the natural identification

$$\mathrm{H}^{2,2} = \mathrm{Sym}^2(H^{1,1}) \oplus \mathbb{C}\omega \wedge \bar{\omega},$$

the homomorphism $\tilde{\psi}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i\alpha_j) = \psi(\alpha_i) \wedge \alpha_j + \alpha_i \wedge \psi(\alpha_j)$$
$$\tilde{\psi}(\omega \wedge \bar{\omega}) = \varphi(\omega) \wedge \bar{\omega} + \omega \wedge \overline{\varphi(\omega)}$$

We define the fixed part $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2})$ of $\mathrm{H}^{2,2}$ to be the set of all $x \in \mathrm{H}^{2,2}$ such that for all $\tilde{\psi}$ associated to some $\varphi \in T_{[\omega],Q^+_{\lambda_0}}$, we have $\tilde{\psi}(x) = 0$. Our next step is to determine $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2})$ explicitly. Let $\varphi(\omega) = \sum \mu_i\alpha_i$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ be the "intersection" matrix on $\mathrm{H}^{1,1}$, i.e. $a_{ij} = b(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. Let $T = (t_{ij})$ be the matrix representing the complex conjugation on $\mathrm{H}^{1,1}$, i.e.

$$\bar{\alpha}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{21} t_{ij}\alpha_j.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= -b(\alpha_i, \overline{\varphi(\omega)}) \\ &= -(\alpha_i, \sum \bar{\mu}_j\bar{\alpha}_j) \\ &= -\sum \bar{\mu}_j t_{jk} b(\alpha_i, \alpha_k) \\ &= -\sum_{j,k} a_{ik} t_{jk} \bar{\mu}_j \\ b_i &= -b(\alpha_i, \varphi(\omega)) = -\sum a_{ij}\mu_j \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_{21})^t$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_{21})^t$. Then the above equations can be written as

$$\mathbf{a} = -A\,{}^tT\overline{\mu}, \qquad \mathbf{b} = -A\mu,$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{21})^t$. Assume that

$$x = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\alpha_i\alpha_j + c_0\omega \wedge \bar{\omega} \in \mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2}),$$

where $C = (c_{ij})$ is a symmetric matrix. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi}(x) &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i\alpha_j) + c_0\tilde{\psi}(\omega\wedge\bar{\omega})\\
&= \sum_{ij} c_{ij}(\alpha_i\wedge\psi(\alpha_j) + \psi(\alpha_i)\wedge\alpha_j) + c_0(\varphi(\omega)\wedge\bar{\omega} + \omega\wedge\overline{\varphi(\omega)})\\
&= 2({}^t\alpha C\psi(\alpha)) + c_0(\varphi(\omega)\wedge\bar{\omega} + \omega\wedge\overline{\varphi(\omega)})\\
&= 2[\,{}^t\alpha C(\mathbf{a}\omega + \mathbf{b}\omega)] + c_0(\,{}^t\alpha\mu\wedge\bar{\omega} + \,{}^t\bar{\alpha}\bar{\mu}\wedge\omega)\\
&= \,{}^t\alpha(-2CA({}^tT)\bar{\mu} + c_0({}^tT)\bar{\mu})\omega + \,{}^t\alpha(-2CA\mu + c_0\mu)\bar{\omega}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{21})^t$ and $\psi(\alpha) = (\psi(\alpha_1), \dots, \psi(\alpha_2))^t$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2}) &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (c_0 - 2CA)\mu = 0 \\ (c_0 - 2CA)^t T\bar{\mu} = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall\varphi(\omega) \in \lambda_0^{\perp}\cap\Lambda^{1,1}\\
&\Leftrightarrow (c_0 - 2CA)\mu = 0, \quad \forall\varphi(\omega)\in\lambda_0^{\perp}\cap\Lambda^{1,1}
\end{aligned}$$

We write $\lambda_0 = \,{}^t\alpha\mathbf{s}$, where $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{21})$, then

$$\varphi(\omega) \in \lambda_0^{\perp}\cap\Lambda^{1,1} \Leftrightarrow \,{}^t\mathbf{s}A\mu = 0.$$

Hence we have the following equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2}) &\Leftrightarrow (c_0 - 2CA)\mu = 0, \quad \forall\mu \text{ with } {}^t\mathbf{s}A\mu = 0\\
&\Leftrightarrow c_0 - 2CA = \mathbf{t}\,{}^t\mathbf{s}A, \text{ for some } \mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{21})^t\\
&\Leftrightarrow C = \frac{1}{2}c_0A^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}\,{}^t\mathbf{s}
\end{aligned}$$

Since C is symmetric, the vector $\mathbf{t}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{s}$. Hence $x \in \mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2})$ if and only if

$$C = c_1B + c_2\mathbf{s}\,{}^t\mathbf{s}, \quad c_0 = 2c_1.$$

for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, where $B = A^{-1}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \,{}^t\alpha(c_1B + c_2\mathbf{s}\,{}^t\mathbf{s})\alpha + 2c_1\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}\\
&= c_1(\,{}^t\alpha B\alpha + 2\omega\wedge\bar{\omega}) + c_2\lambda_0^2\\
&= c_1q + c_2\lambda_0^2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\mathrm{Fix}(\mathrm{H}^{2,2}) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{q, \lambda_0^2\}$. This computation implies that on a very general deformation of (F, λ_0), the classes that remain of $(2,2)$-type are generated by q and λ_0^2. This implies that for a very general (F, λ_0), we have

$$\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{q, \lambda_0^2\} \cap \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Together with Lemma 4.2, this proves (a). For (b), we note that for all $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$, we have

$$\frac{2}{5}q\cdot\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{a}' = 10b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}'), \quad \lambda_0^2\cdot\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{a}' = b(\lambda_0, \lambda_0)b(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}').$$

Then one concludes (b) by evaluating $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}'$ on the generators of $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let (F, λ_0) be a very general primitively polarized hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type such that Assumption 1.4 is verified. Then F is not of Jacobian type.*

Corollary 4.5. *Let (F, λ_0) be very general as in the theorem. Then λ_0 is odd (resp. even) if and only if the image of* $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ *in* $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ *is a cyclic group of order 5 (resp. 10).*

Proof. In any case, we always have $\lambda_0^2 \mapsto 0 \in \mathcal{T}^4(F)$. Since $\frac{2}{5}q = 8v_0(\delta) - \delta^2$, we see that $\frac{2}{5}q \mapsto 8\bar{v}_0$. If λ_0 is odd, then the image of $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is generated by $8\bar{v}_0$, which is an element of order 5. To conclude the second case, we only need to note the fact that for any even λ_0, the image of $\frac{1}{8}(\lambda_0^2 - \delta^2)$ in $\mathcal{T}^4(F)$ is an element of order 2. ☐

Corollary 4.6. *Let (F, λ_0) be a very general polarized hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type.*
(a) The Hodge conjecture holds true for F.
(b) Let $\mathcal{Z}^4(F) = \mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)/\mathrm{Alg}^4(F)$. If λ_0 is odd, then there is a surjection $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^4(F)$; if λ_0 is even, then there is a surjection $\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^4(F)$.

Proof. To prove the Hodge conjecture for F, we only need to do this in degree 4. By the computation carried out in the proof of the theorem, we only need to show that q is algebraic. We will need the following explicit of the Chern classes of F in the special case $F = S^{[2]}$,

$$c_2(F) = 24v_0 - 3\delta^2.$$

This implies that $\frac{2}{5}q = \frac{1}{3}c_2(F)$. When λ_0 is odd, then $\mathcal{Z}^4(F)$ is generated by the image of $\frac{1}{3}c_2(F)$. When λ_0 is even, then $\mathcal{Z}^4(F)$ is generated by the image of $\frac{1}{24}c_2(F) + \frac{1}{8}\lambda_0^2$. This proves the corollary. ☐

5. Lines on a cubic fourfold

In this section, we consider a special family of polarized hyperkähler manifolds. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a smooth cubic fourfold. Let $F = F(X)$ be the variety of lines on X. It is known that F is a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type, see [1] and [3]. We have a natural inclusion $F \subset G(2,6)$. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be the restriction of the rank 2 quotient bundle on the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Set $g_1 = c_1(\mathscr{E})$ and $g_2 = c_2(\mathscr{E})$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a very general cubic fourfold. Then the following are true.*
(a) The variety of lines $F = F(X)$ is not of Jacobian type.
(b) The integral Hodge classes $\mathrm{Hdg}^4(F)$ is freely generated by g_2 and $\frac{1}{3}(g_1^2 - g_2)$.
(c) The integral Hodge conjecture holds true for F in degree 4.

Proof. By the global Torelli theorem of [19], we know that when X is very general, the corresponding (F, g_1) is very general among its deformations. In the special case when X is a Pfaffian cubic fourfold, we have $F = S^{[2]}$ for some $K3$ surface of degree 14. In this case, the polarization has the form $g_1 = 2\mathfrak{a} - 5\delta$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is the degree 14 polarization on S. This means that g_1 is even. Since $b(g_1, g_1) = 6$, we know that $\frac{1}{8}(b(g_1, g_1) + 10) = 2$ is even. Hence by Corollary 4.4, F is not of Jacobian type for very general X.

To prove (b), we need to write q explicitly in terms of g_1 and g_2. Let $\Phi : \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ be the Abel-Jacobi isomorphism, see [3]. Then the restriction of Φ to the transcendental classes gives an isomorphism

$$\Phi_0 : \mathrm{H}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$$

which satisfies $b(\Phi(\alpha),\Phi(\beta)) = -\alpha\cdot\beta$ for all $\alpha,\beta\in \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$, see [3]. One relation that we need is

$$g_2\cdot\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{a}' = 0,\quad \forall \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a}'\in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

This can be seen from the geometry. Let $Y\subset X$ be a general hyperplane section, which is a smooth cubic threefold. Then g_2 is represented by the surface of lines on Y. For any transcendental classes $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}'$, we can find the corresponding transcendental classes $\alpha,\alpha'\in \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}=\Phi(\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{a}'=\Phi(\alpha')$. When α is transcendental, we always have $\deg(\alpha|_Y)=0$. By formula of the number of secant lines of a pair of curves on a cubic hypersurface obtained in [17], we have

$$g_2\cdot\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{a}' = 5\deg(\alpha|_Y)\deg(\alpha'|_Y) = 0.$$

Similarly, we have $g_2\cdot g_1\cdot\mathfrak{a}=0$ and $g_2g_1^2=45$. The self-intersection of g_1 is given by

$$g_1^4 = 3b(g_1,b_1)^2 = 108.$$

Based on these identities, we get

$$(\frac{1}{6}g_1^2 - \frac{4}{15}g_2)\cdot\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{b} = b(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}),\quad \forall \mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}\in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}).$$

This implies that $\frac{1}{6}g_1^2 - \frac{4}{15}g_2 = \frac{1}{25}q$. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{8}(\frac{2}{5}q+g_1^2) = \frac{1}{3}(g_1^2-g_2).$$

Then (b) follows from Theorem 4.3.

Note that $\frac{1}{3}(g_1^2-g_2)$ is represented by the surface of all lines meeting a given line, see [19]. This proves (c). □

6. Minimal Hodge classes

In this section we investigate the hyperkähler manifolds of Jacobian type from a different angle. Namely, we show that the minimal Hodge classes are of special form. In particular, being of Jacobian type will force the the Picard rank to jump. We also show that having a minimal Hodge class is a birational invariant.

Proposition 6.1. *Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$-type. Then a minimal Hodge class θ is always in the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space spanned by $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Pic}(F))$ and q.*

Corollary 6.2. *Let (F,λ_0) be a primitively polarized hyperkähler manifold with λ_0 satisfying Assumption 1.4. If F is of Jacobian type, then the Picard number of F is at least 2.*

Corollary 6.3. *If X be a smooth cubic fourfold whose variety of lines $F(X)$ is of Jacobian type, then X is special.*

Proposition 6.4. *Let F_1 and F_2 be hyperkähler manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$-type. If F_1 is birational to F_2, then F_1 has a minimal Hodge class if and only if F_2 does.*

Remark 6.5. This Proposition suggests that being of Jacobian type is likely to be a birational invariant.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Let $\{\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Hdg}^2(F)$ and $\{\mathfrak{t}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{t}_s\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathrm{Hdg}^2(F)^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}$, i.e. $b(\mathfrak{t}_\alpha, \mathfrak{t}_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Assume that $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^4(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is a minimal Hodge class. We can write θ exiplicitly as

$$\theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} x_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{a}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} y_{i\alpha}\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{t}_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{s} z_{\alpha\beta}\mathfrak{t}_\alpha\mathfrak{t}_\beta,$$

for some $x_{ij}, y_{i\alpha}, z_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$ and $z_{\alpha\beta} = z_{\beta\alpha}$. Since θ is a minimal class, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\alpha\beta} &= \theta \cdot \mathfrak{t}_\alpha \cdot \mathfrak{t}_\beta \\ &= \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} b(\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{a}_j)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} z_{\alpha'\beta'}(\delta_{\alpha'\beta'}\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \delta_{\alpha'\alpha}\delta_{\beta'\beta} + \delta_{\alpha'\beta}\delta_{\beta'\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_{ij} x_{ij} b(\mathfrak{a}_i, \mathfrak{a}_j)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + (\sum_{\alpha'} z_{\alpha'\alpha'})\delta_{\alpha\beta} + 2z_{\alpha\beta}\end{aligned}$$

When $\alpha \neq \beta$, we see that $z_{\alpha\beta} = 0$; when $\beta = \alpha$, we get that $z_{\alpha\alpha} = c$ is a constant that is independent of α. By definition, the element q has the form

$$q = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{a}_j + \sum_{\alpha} \mathfrak{t}_\alpha\mathfrak{t}_\alpha,$$

which implies that $q_{\mathrm{tr}} = \sum_\alpha \mathfrak{t}_\alpha\mathfrak{t}_\alpha$ is of type $(2, 2)$ in the Hodge decomposition. Now we take an integral basis $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_s\}$ of $\mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$. Hence we have a new explicit expression of θ,

$$\theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} x_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{a}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{y}_{i\alpha}\mathfrak{a}_i\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_\alpha + cq_{\mathrm{tr}}$$

where $\tilde{y}_{i\alpha}, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since θ is a Hodge class, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{y}_{i\alpha}\mathfrak{a}_i\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_\alpha^{0,2} = 0$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{y}_{i\alpha}\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_\alpha^{0,2} = 0,$$

since $\mathfrak{a}_i$ form a basis. Now it follows that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \tilde{y}_{i\alpha}\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_\alpha$ is of type $(1, 1)$ which forces it to be 0. Hence we have $\theta = \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} x_{ij}\mathfrak{a}_i\mathfrak{a}_j + cq_{\mathrm{tr}}$ which is in the $\mathbb{Q}$-span of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Pic}(F))$ and q. □

Proof of Corollary 6.2. If $\mathrm{Pic}(F) = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_0$, then, by the proposition, a minimal Hodge class is always in

$$\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{q, \lambda_0^2\} \cap \mathrm{H}^{2,2}(F).$$

However, part (b) of Theorem 4.3, there is no such minimal Hodge class. □

Proof of Corollary 6.3. Let X be a cubic fourfold whose variety of lines, $F = F(X)$, is of Jacobian type. Then by Corollary 6.2, we have $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{Pic}(F) \geq 2$. By a result of [3], this holds precisely when $\mathrm{rk}\ \mathrm{Hdg}^4(X) \geq 2$. Hence X is special. □

Proof of Proposition 6.4. Since F_1 and F_2 are birational to each other, we have

$$\mathrm{H}^2(F_1,\mathbb{Z})\cong \mathrm{H}^2(F_2,\mathbb{Z})$$

which is compatible with the Beauville-Bogomolov bilinear forms, see [8]. Then Theorem 2.14 implies that

$$\mathrm{H}^4(F_1,\mathbb{Z})\cong \mathrm{H}^4(F_2,\mathbb{Z})$$

which is compatible with the intersections. Then the Proposition follows easily. ☐

7. Rational cubic fourfolds

In this section, we give some partial evidence of our Conjecture 1.6. We first state some well-known formulas for blow-ups. We then show that rationality of a fourfold implies the existence of a Hodge theoretically special family of rational curves parameterized by a surface. Using the techniques and constructions of [17], we were able to relate this to the variety of lines and prove Theorem 1.9.

7.1. **Blow up formulas.** Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension 4 and $Z\subset Y$ a smooth closed subvariety. Let $\tilde{Y}=\mathrm{Bl}_Z(Y)$ be the blow up of Y along Z and $E\subset\tilde{Y}$ the exceptional divisor. Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[d, "\sigma"] \\ Z \arrow[r, "i"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 7.1. *Let Y, Z and $\tilde{Y}$ be as above. We use $\hat{\oplus}$ to denote orthogonal decomposition. Then the following are true.*
(i) If Z is a point, then

$$\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})\cong \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})\hat{\oplus}\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{P}^2]$$

where the extra class $[\mathbb{P}^2]$ is the class of a linear $\mathbb{P}^2$ in the exceptional divisor $E\cong\mathbb{P}^3$. Furthermore we have $[\mathbb{P}^2]^2=-1$.
(ii) If Z is a curve, then

$$\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})= \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})\hat{\oplus}(\mathbb{Z}j_*\xi\oplus\mathbb{Z}j_*f)$$

where ξ is the class of the relative $\mathcal{O}(1)$-bundle of $\pi: E=\mathbb{P}(\mathscr{N}_{Z/Y})\to Z$ and f is the class of a fiber of π. The intersection matrix of $j_*\xi$ and j_*f is

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix},$$

where $d=\deg(\mathscr{N}_{Z/Y})$.
(iii) If Z is a surface, then we have a canonical identification

$$\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})= \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})\hat{\oplus}\mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})(-1)$$

where $\mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\mathfrak{a}\mapsto -j_*\pi^*\mathfrak{a}$ and the intersection form is given by

$$j_*\pi^*\mathfrak{a}\cdot j_*\pi^*\mathfrak{a}'=-(\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{a}')_Z.$$

The projection $\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})\to\mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\alpha\mapsto\pi_*j^*\alpha$.

Corollary 7.2. *If Z is a point or a curve, then*

$$\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} = \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

If Z is a surface, then

$$\mathrm{H}^4(\tilde{Y},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} = \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \hat{\oplus} \mathrm{H}^2(Z,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}(-1).$$

7.2. **Rational fourfolds.** Let Y be a smooth projective fourfold that is rationally connected. Let $S = \amalg S_i$ be a smooth (not necessarily irreducible) surface. Let $K(S) = \oplus K(S_i)$ be the product of the function fields of its components. Any nontrivial morphism

$$\varphi_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} = \coprod \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S_i)} \to Y$$

induces an Abel-Jacobi map

$$\alpha = \pi_*\varphi^* : \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

This can be defined as follows. First, the morphism φ can be defined over a dense open subset $U \subset S$. Namely, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{P}^1 \times U \arrow[r, "\varphi_U"] \arrow[d, "\pi_U"'] & Y \\ U & \end{tikzcd}$$

This picture can be completed into a proper family of rational curves on Y as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & Y \\ \tilde{S} & \end{tikzcd}$$

This allows us to define the usual Abel-Jacobi map

$$\pi_*\varphi^* : \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{S},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

It is well-known that the transcendental lattice of a surface is a birational invariant. Since S is birational to $\tilde{S}$, we have the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^2(\tilde{S},\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \cong \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

This gives the homomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

One checks that this definition of α is independent of the choice of the spreading φ_U and the completion $\tilde{S}$. Note that by construction, the morphism $\pi : \mathscr{C} \to \tilde{S}$ has a rational section.

Definition 7.3. We say that S *receives the cohomology of* Y *with index* e via a rational curve $\varphi_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} \to Y$ as above if the associated Abel-Jacobi map satisfies

$$(\alpha(x)\cdot\alpha(y))_S = -e\,(x\cdot y)_Y, \quad \forall x,y \in \mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

When a polarization H of Y is fixed, the *degree* of the rational curve $\varphi_{K(S)}$ is defined as the degree of $\varphi(\mathbb{P}^1_s)$ for a general closed point $s \in S(\mathbb{C})$. We will call $\varphi_{K(S)}$ a *line* if its degree is 1.

Let Y be a smooth projective fourfold. Assume that Y is rational. Then there is a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow Y$. We resolve the indeterminacy of f by successive blow-ups along smooth centers and get the following picture

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Y' \arrow[dl, "\sigma"'] \arrow[dr, "\tilde{f}"] & \\
\mathbb{P}^4 & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

where σ is the seccessive blow-ups. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_r$ be the smooth surfaces that appear as the center of the blow-up at some step. Let $T_1, \ldots, T_r \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the images of the S_i's.

Definition 7.4. We say that successive blow-up σ is *simple* if the T_i's are all surfaces distinct from each other.

We assume that σ is simple. This implies that $S_i \to T_i$ is birational and $\sigma^{-1}(t) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for general $t \in T_i$. Let

$$S = \coprod_{i=1}^{r} S_i, \qquad T = \coprod_{i=1}^{r} T_i.$$

Fix a general point $x \in Y$ with its image in $\mathbb{P}^4$ being x'. For a general point (meaning from a dense open subset) $t \in T$, we use $L_t \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ to denote the line passing through x' and t. Let $L'_t \subset Y'$ be the strict transform of L_t and $C_t \subset Y$ be its image in Y. Note that $x \in C_t$ for all such general t. As t varies in a dense open subset of S, this gives a rational curve

$$\varphi_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} \to Y$$

that passes through $x \in X$. For a general point $t \in T$, we also define $E'_t = \sigma^{-1}(t) \subset Y'$ and $E_t \subset Y$ be its image in Y. Since $S \to T$ is birational, we see that E_t, as t runs through general points of T, defines a rational curve

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} \to Y$$

Lemma 7.5. *Let notations and assumptions be as above, then S receives the cohomology of Y via $\varphi_{K(S)}$ (resp. $\tilde{\varphi}_{K(S)}$) with index -1 (resp. 1).*

Proof. By (iii) of Proposition 7.1 and Corollary 7.2, we see that

$$\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{\varphi}^* : \mathrm{H}^4(Y, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} = \mathrm{H}^4(Y', \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$$

is equal to $\tilde{f}^*$. This proves the case of $\tilde{\varphi}_{K(S)}$. Let $\{\tilde{L}_b : b \in B = \mathbb{P}^3\}$ be all the lines on $\mathbb{P}^4$ passing through x'. Then for general $b \in B$, the line $\tilde{L}_b$ gives rise to a rational curve $C'_b \subset Y$. When $\tilde{L}_b$ specializes to a line L_t for some general point $t \in T$, the rational curve C'_t specializes to the nodal curve $C_t \cup E_t$. This gives us the following picture

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "g'"] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathscr{C}' \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d, "q"] & Y \\
S \arrow[r, "g"] & B &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\mathscr{C}$ is the total space of $\{E_t \cup C_t\}_{t\in S}$ and $\mathscr{C}'$ is the total space of $\{\tilde{L}_b\}_{b\in B}$. Then by construction, we have

$$\pi_*\varphi^* + \tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\varphi}^* = p_*(g')^*h^* = g^*q_*h^*$$

as homomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^4(Y,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$. Since $\mathrm{H}^2(B,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} = 0$, we get $g^* = 0$ and hence

$$\pi_*\varphi^* = -\tilde{\pi}_*\tilde{\varphi}^*.$$

This prove the case of $\varphi_{K(S)}$. □

7.3. **Rational cubic fourfolds.** Let X be a smooth cubic fourfold and $F = F(X)$ its variety of lines.

Proposition 7.6. *The hyperkähler manifold F is of Jacobian type if and only if there exists a surface S that receives the cohomology of X with index 1 via lines.*

Proof. By [3], the universal line defines the Abel-Jacobi isomorphism

$$\alpha : \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z}).$$

If there is a line $\varphi_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} \to X$, then this gives a rational map $f : S \dashrightarrow F$. By replacing S by a birational model, we may assume that f is a morphism. Then we have

$$f^* \circ \alpha = \pi_*\varphi^* : \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}} \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

As was shown in [3],

$$b(\alpha(x),\alpha(y)) = -(x\cdot y), \quad x,y \in \mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

We see that S represents a minimal class if and only if it receives cohomology of X with index 1 via $\varphi_{K(S)}$. □

Proposition 7.7. *Let $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \subset X$ be a general rational curve of degree e on X and S_C the surface of lines meeting C. Then the lines defined by S_C gives a morphism $\varphi_{K(S)} : \mathbb{P}^1_{K(S)} \to X$ and S_C receives the cohomology of X with index $2e$.*

Proof. This follows from the Prym-Tjurin construction obtained in [18]. □

Given the above result, we would like to ask the following

Question 7.8. For a very general cubic fourfold X, is there a surface S that receives the cohomology of X via a rational curve with an odd index?

We expect that the answer to this question is negative and such a negative answer should imply nonrationality of X. To see how this question is related to Conjecture 1.6, we recall some constructions and results of [17]. Let $f : C = \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$ be a smooth rational curve of degree e on X. A *secant line* of C is a line on X that meet C in 2 points. We say that C is in *well-positioned* if there are only finitely many distinct secant lines of C. Assume that C is well-positioned. A general pair of points $(x,y) \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(C) = \mathbb{P}^2$ determines a line $L_{x,y} \subset \mathbb{P}^5$. The line $L_{x,y}$ intersects X in a third point z unless $L_{x,y}$ is a secant line of C. As (x,y) varies, we get a rational map $\phi_0 : \mathrm{Sym}^2(C) \dashrightarrow X$, $(x,y) \mapsto z$. Let Σ be the blow up of $\mathrm{Sym}^2(C)$ at the points corresponding to the secant lines, then ϕ_0 extends to a morphism $\phi : \Sigma \to X$. This rational surface Σ is called the *residue surface* of C. The condition $z = x$ or y defines a curve $\tilde{C} \subset \Sigma$. The degree of the morphism $\tilde{C} \to C$ is $e-2$. There are several natural divisors on Σ. Let $x \in C$ be a general closed point, we define $D_x \subset \Sigma$ be the loci $\{(x,x') : x' \in C\} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^2(C)$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset C$ be a hyperplane section and we write $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{e} x_i$. We define

$$D_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i=1}^{e} D_{x_i}.$$

Let $\xi = \phi^* h$ be the class of a hyperplane. The loci (x,x) for $x \in C$ defines $\Delta \subset \Sigma$. The class of Δ is divisible by 2 in the Picard group of Σ. Let $\Delta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta$. Then the following holds true in $\mathrm{Pic}(\Sigma)$,

$$\tilde{C} - D_{\mathfrak{a}} - \Delta_0 - \xi + \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i = 0, \tag{7}$$

where E_i, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, are all the exceptional divisors of the blow up $\Sigma \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(C)$. This is proved in Proposition 3.6 of [17]. Viewed as algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence, we have

$$\phi_* \tilde{C} = (e-2)C, \quad \phi_* D_{\mathfrak{a}} = -eC + a_1 h^3, \quad \phi_* \Delta_0 = C + a_2 h^3, \quad \phi_* \xi = a_3 h^3,$$

for some $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If C varies in a family, then the above constructions can be carried out in families. Furthermore, the construction does not require the base field to be algebraically closed. Now assume that S is a surface and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & X \\
S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a family of rational curves of degree e on X. Assume that for a general point $s \in S$, the curve $\mathscr{C}_s$ is well-positioned. By carrying out the above construction in this family, we see that there is an dense open subset $U \subset S$ and $\tilde{\Sigma} \to U$, $\tilde{\mathscr{C}} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$, $\Xi \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$, $\tilde{D} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$ and $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$ such that for any point $s \in U$, the fiber $(\tilde{\Sigma})_s$ is the residue surface of $\mathscr{C}_s$, and $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}$, Ξ, $\tilde{D}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ and $\tilde{E}$ correspond to $\tilde{C}$, ξ, $D_{\mathfrak{a}}$, Δ_0 and $\sum E_i$ respectively. By taking the closures, we view $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}$, Ξ, $\tilde{D}$, $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ and $\tilde{E}$ as elements in $\mathrm{CH}_3(S \times X)$. Then we define

$$\Gamma = \tilde{\mathscr{C}} - \tilde{D} - \tilde{\Delta}_0 - \Xi + \tilde{E} \in \mathrm{CH}_3(S \times X).$$

Let η_S be the generic point of S. Note that $\Gamma|_{\eta_S \times X}$ defines an element in $\mathrm{CH}_1(\eta_S \times X)$. By doing the above construction of residue surface over the point η_S, then the relation (7) says

$$\Gamma|_{\eta_S \times X} = 0.$$

It is a standard fact that

$$\mathrm{CH}_1(\eta_S \times X) = \mathrm{CH}^3(\eta_S \times X) = \varinjlim \mathrm{CH}^3(U \times X)$$

where U runs through open dense subsets of S. Hence we see that $\Gamma|_{U \times X} = 0$ for some open dense $U \subset S$. Let $B = S - U$ and $\tilde{B}$ be a desingularization of B. By shrinking U, we may assume that B is a curve. Then we see that

$$\Gamma \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{CH}_3(\tilde{B} \times X) \to \mathrm{CH}_3(S \times X)).$$

It follows that the homomorphism $[\Gamma]^*:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ factors through $\mathrm{H}^0(\tilde{B},\mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $[\Gamma]^*=0$. Note that $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}=(e-2)\mathscr{C}\in\mathrm{CH}_3(S\times X)$, we get

$$[\tilde{\mathscr{C}}]^*=(e-2)[\mathscr{C}]^*.$$

Consider $\Gamma_1=\tilde{D}+e\tilde{C}-\frac{a_1}{a_3}\Xi\in\mathrm{CH}_3(S\times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and run the same argument as for Γ, we get

$$[\tilde{D}]^*=-e[\mathscr{C}]^*+\frac{a_1}{a_3}[\Xi]^*.$$

Similarly, we have

$$[\tilde{\Delta}_0]^*=[\mathscr{C}]^*+\frac{a_2}{a_3}[\Xi]^*.$$

Note that Ξ is simply the pull back of a hyperplane $H\subset X$ via the natural morphism $\tilde{\Sigma}\to X$. It follows that $[\Xi]^*:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ factors through $\mathrm{H}^4(H,\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathrm{H}^4(H,\mathbb{Z})\cong\mathbb{Z}$ only has algebraic classes, we conclude that

$$[\Xi]^*=0.$$

Put all these identities together, we get

$$[\tilde{E}]^*=(3-2e)[\mathscr{C}]^*. \tag{8}$$

The generic fiber of $\tilde{E}\to S$ consists of finitely many lines, namely the secant lines of the corresponding curve $\mathscr{C}_s$. By selecting one of the secant lines, we get a factorization $\tilde{E}\to\Gamma_S\to S$ and a morphism $\Gamma_S\to F$. The surface Γ_S gives rise to a correspondence from S to F. Then the equation (8) can be rewriten as

$$[\Gamma_S]^*\circ\alpha=(3-2e)[\mathscr{C}]^*, \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha:\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}\to\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}$ is the Abel-Jacobi isomorphism. Now we can give a partial relation between Question 7.8 and Conjecture 1.6.

Proposition 7.9. *Let X be a cubic fourfold and F its variety of lines. Assume that there exist a surface S receiving the cohomology of X with odd index e_0 via a well-positioned rational curve. Then F is potentially of Jacobian type.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{C}\to S$ be the rational curve defined by S. By assumption, we have

$$[\mathscr{C}]^*x\cdot[\mathscr{C}]^*y=-e_0(x\cdot y),\quad x,y\in\mathrm{H}^4(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

Let Γ_S be the surface parameterizing the secant lines as constructed above. Then the equation (9) gives

$$[\Gamma_S]^*u\cdot[\Gamma_S]^*v=e_0(2e-3)b(u,v),\quad u,v\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

Note that $e_0(2e-3)$ is again odd. Take $a=\frac{e_0(2e-3)-1}{2}\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let $l\subset X$ be a general line and $f:S_l\to F$ the surface of lines meeting l. It is known that

$$f^*u\cdot f^*v=2b(u,v),\quad u,v\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

Then we can take $S_1=S\coprod S_l$ and $\Gamma_1=\Gamma_S-a\Gamma_f$, where Γ_f is the graph of f. Then we have

$$[\Gamma_1]^*u\cdot[\Gamma_1]^*v=b(u,v),\quad u,v\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tr}}.$$

This means that F is potentially of Jacobian type. □

Proof of Theorem 1.9. This is essentially a combination of Lemma 7.5 and Proposition 7.9. The only thing that we need to note is that in the proof of Lemma 7.5, we can choose the point x' general enough such that C_t is well-positioned for general t. □

References

[1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Foundations of the theory of Fano schemes*, Compositio Mathematica, Tome **34**, $n^{\circ}1$ (1977), p. 3–47.

[2] A. Beauville, *Variétés kählériennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. of Diff. Geometry, **18**(1983), 755–782.

[3] A. Beauville and R. Donagi, *La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **301**(1985), 703–706.

[4] H. Clemens and P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of a cubic threefold*, Ann. Math., **95**(1972), 281–356.

[5] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second edition, Springer 1998.

[6] A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algébre homologique*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **9**, 1957, 119–221.

[7] B. Hassett, *Special cubic fourfolds*, Compositio Math. **120**(2000), no. 1, 1–23.

[8] D. Huybrechts, *Compact hyperkähler manifolds: Basic results*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 63–113.

[9] E. Izadi, *A Prym construction for the cohomology of a cubic hypersurface*, Proc. of L.M.S., **79**(1999), 535–568.

[10] A. Kuznetsov, *Derived categories of cubic fourfolds*, in "Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems", 219243, Progr. Math., **282**, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, 2010.

[11] V.S. Kulikov, *A remark on the nonrationality of a generic cubic fourfold*, (Russian) Mat. Zametki **83** (2008), no. 1, 61–68; translation in Math. Notes **83** (2008), no. 1-2, 57–64.

[12] W. Li and Z. Qin, *Integral cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, Comm. in Anal. and Geom. **16**, no.5, 2008, 969–988.

[13] E. Markman, *Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 2, 622–646.

[14] E. Markman and S. Mehrotra, *Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces are dense in moduli*, arXiv: 1201.0031.

[15] T. Matsusaka, *On a characterization of a Jacobian variety*, Mem. College Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. Volume **32**, Number 1 (1959), 1–19.

[16] Z. Qin and W. Wang, *Integral operators and integral cohomology classes of Hilbert schemes*, Math. Ann. **331**, 2005, 669–692.

[17] M. Shen, *On relations among 1-cycles on cubic hypersurfaces*, preprint.

[18] M. Shen, *Prym-Tjurin constructions on cubic hypersurfaces*, preprint.

[19] C. Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de* $\mathbb{P}^5$, Invent. Math. **86**(1986), 577–601.

[20] E. C. Zeeman, *Dihomology. III. A generalization of the Poincaré duality for manifolds*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **13**, 1963, p.155–183.

DPMMS, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
E-mail address: `M.Shen@dpmms.cam.ac.uk`